

September 28, 2015

To: Interested Parties

From: Ben Tulchin, Ben Krompak, and Kiel Brunner; Tulchin Research

Re: [Poll Finds Overwhelming Support for the Endangered Species Act Among Indiana Voters](#)

Tulchin Research recently conducted a survey of 200 likely November 2016 voters in Indiana on behalf of Defenders of Wildlife and Earthjustice to assess attitudes toward the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Our research finds a broad-based consensus on the ESA, with more than eight in ten Indiana voters (83%) supporting the law and with that support extending across the political spectrum. Indiana voters feel strongly that decisions about which species are protected under the Endangered Species Act should rest with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service biologists and *not* with members of Congress. Furthermore, voters widely reject the contention by critics of the Endangered Species Act that the law hurts our economy and instead believe we can protect wildlife and grow the economy at the same time. Additionally, three-quarters of Indiana voters (75%) say they would be more likely to vote for a member of Congress who *supports* environmental safeguards such as the Endangered Species Act.

[Poll Finds Broad Consensus on the Endangered Species Act](#)

Asked whether they support or oppose the Endangered Species Act based on a basic description of the law, 83 percent of Indiana voters surveyed indicated that they support it, including 48 percent who *strongly* support it.

<i>“As you may know, the Endangered Species Act is an environmental law established to protect all wildlife, plants, and fish that are in danger of extinction. Based on what you know, would you say that you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the Endangered Species Act?”</i>	
<i>Strongly Support</i>	48%
<i>Somewhat Support</i>	35%
Total Support	83%
<i>Somewhat Oppose</i>	7%
<i>Strongly Oppose</i>	3%
Total Oppose	10%
<i>Don't know</i>	7%

In today’s highly polarized political environment, it is notable that support for the Endangered Species Act spans the political spectrum. The law is favored by 97 percent of self-identified liberals, 85 percent of moderates, and 72 percent of conservatives.

[Strong Majority Say Scientists – Not Congress – Should Make Decisions about Endangered Species Protection](#)

With some legislators currently seeking to strip specific individual species of Endangered Species Act protections, our poll asked Indiana voters whether they preferred such decisions be made by Congress or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service biologists. By a margin of 84 percent to 2 percent, voters choose a science-based approach over allowing Congress to decide which species should be protected, while 11 percent are undecided.

<i>“Who do you think should determine which species should be protected under the Endangered Species Act:”</i>	
<i>Biologists at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</i>	84%
<i>Congress</i>	2%
<i>Don’t know</i>	13%

As with overall support for the Endangered Species Act, these attitudes are shared by large majorities of liberals (92%), moderates (85%), and conservatives (81%).

[Indiana Voters Believe the Endangered Species Act is Necessary to Prevent Extinctions and Believe U.S. Can Protect Wildlife While Growing the Economy and Creating Jobs](#)

While critics of the Endangered Species Act contend that the law hurts the nation’s economy, our poll finds Indiana voters soundly reject this argument and are confident the United States can protect wildlife while creating jobs. Just 11 percent of voters agree with critics who say that “the Endangered Species Act hurts our economy and destroys jobs,” while more than eight in ten voters (83%) agree with Endangered Species Act supporters who say “it is necessary to prevent species from going extinct and that we can protect our natural heritage for future generations while growing our economy and creating jobs.”

<i>“Here are two statements about the Endangered Species Act. Please tell me which is closer to your opinion.”</i>	
<i>CRITICS of the Endangered Species Act say the law hurts our economy and destroys jobs</i>	11%
<i>SUPPORTERS of the law say that it is necessary to prevent imperiled plants and animals from going extinct and that we can protect our natural heritage for future generations while growing our economy and creating jobs.</i>	83%
<i>Don't know</i>	6%

Voters of All Persuasions More Likely to Support Members of Congress Who Back Environmental Safeguards Like the Endangered Species Act

The poll finds that three-quarters of voters (75%) report they are *more* likely to vote for a member of Congress “who supports environmental safeguards such as the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, and the Clean Water Act,” while just 17 percent say they would be “less likely” to vote for that member. Notably, large majorities of liberals (95%) and moderates (80%), and conservatives (60%) say they are more likely to support a member of Congress who supports environmental protection.

<i>“Would you be more or less likely to vote for a member of Congress who supports environmental safeguards such as the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, and the Clean Water Act?”</i>	
<i>More likely to support</i>	75%
<i>Less likely to support</i>	17%
<i>Makes no difference</i>	3%
<i>Don't know</i>	5%

Conclusion

Our research finds a broad-based consensus on the Endangered Species Act with 83 percent of voters statewide supporting the law and with that support extending across ideological lines. The poll finds wide agreement that the Endangered Species Act is necessary to prevent extinctions, that the nation can protect our natural heritage while growing our economy, and that specific decisions about species protection should be science-based and made by biologists rather than by politicians. Additionally, voters look favorably upon legislators who support environmental protections with voters of all political persuasions saying they would be more likely to vote for a member of Congress who supports safeguards like the Endangered Species Act.

Survey Methodology: From September 8-13, 2015, Tulchin Research conducted a telephone survey of 200 likely November 2016 voters in Indiana, using live professional callers and dialing both landlines and cell phones. The margin of error for this survey is +/- 6.9 percentage points.

Appendix: Regional Representation

The survey was conducted among a representative sample of likely November 2016 voters statewide.

Regions

North:

- **31% of respondents**

Metro Indianapolis:

- **48% of respondents**

South:

- **21% of respondents**