Index

A

Adaptive management, under habitat conservation plans, ix, 26-28, 73-74
Alabama beach mouse, 63
biological monitoring of, 36
conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 104
implementation difficulties and, 70
incidental take of, through multiple habitat conservation plans, 56
Atlantic Salmon Conservation Plan, 63
analysis of, xiv-xv, 9, 25, 108
enforcement under, 73

B

Balcones Canyonlands Plan, 7 analysis of, xiv-xv, 103 biological monitoring under, 36 funding for, 48-50 contingency, 52 government regulation of, 63-64, 66 preserve design in, 14 difficulties with, 18-20 public input in, 44 public land use and, 66 and species' recovery plan, 55 technical advisory team and, 38 urbanizing jurisdictions under, 64 Bald eagle, conservation plans for, xiv-xv, 97-98, 105 duration of, 75 implementation difficulties and, 70 mitigation under, 60

BCCP. See Balcones Canyonlands Ben Cone HCP, 7 analysis of, xiv-xv, 106-107 authorized take in, 17 duration of, 75 public input in, 44 translocation under, 68 Biological monitoring, vii, 16-17, 33-37 Biological standards, 59-61 Biological surprises, contingency funding for, 51-52 Black-capped vireo conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 103 preserve design for, 18-19 Blackhawk - Pacific Gas and Electric HCP. See Pacific Gas and Electric - Blackhawk HCP Blunt-nosed leopard lizard, 65 conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 100 Brandon Capitol HCP, 7, 63 analysis of, xiv-xv, 105 land exchanges under, 67 mitigation under, 61 public input in, 44

C

Callippe silverspot butterfly conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 21, 99 preserve design for, 18 recovery plan for, and habitat conservation plan, 55-56 Candidate conservation agreements, 9, 61 definition of, 2

109

positive examples of, 17-20

Cave invertebrates Contingency funding, provisions in habitat conservaconservation plans for, xiv-xv tion plans, 50-52 preserve design for, 18 Corridors, wildlife, between preserved areas, 16 Clark County HCP, 7 analysis of, xiv-xv, 100-102 funding for, 48, 50 contingency, 52 Dispersal habitat, in lieu of nesting habitat, impact of, mitigation under, 60 population survey in preparation of, 59 public input in, 44 E and translocation, 31-32 Clinton Administration EA. See Environmental assessment and candidate conservation agreements, 9 Early termination, in habitat conservation plans, 75and habitat conservation plans, iv, vi and no surprises policy, vi-vii, x Ecosystem planning, 9-11 and pre-listing agreements, 5 concerns about, 11-12 and safe-harbor agreements, vii, 4, 8 definition of, 9 Coastal California gnatcatcher, 10 Edge effects, preserve management and, 26 conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 14, 100 EIS. See Environmental impact statement effect of listing on, 64 Endangered species 4(d) rule for, 62 definition of, 2 Natural Community Conservation Planning prohabitats for gram and, 10 location of, vi, 1 Coastal sage scrub ecosystem, in California, conservanumber of, vi tion of, 10, 14 recovery plans for, 54-58 Coleman Company HCP, 77 Endangered Species Act analysis of, xiv-xv, 102 1997 budget for, 1 incidental take under, 58 and habitat conservation plans, vi mitigation under, 60 regulation of private land under, 1-4 public input in, 44 Section 7, 2, 46 and translocation, 31, 68 Section 9, 2, 7, 18, 27, 45 Conservation planning, 13-76. See also Habitat conand species recovery, 53 servation plan Enhancement of survival permit, 9 advent of, 4 Environmental assessment, 6 coordinating use of private and public lands under, mitigated, 47 public input in, 46-47 ecosystem-based approach to, 9-11 Environmental impact statement, 6 concerns about, 11-12 public input in, 44, 47 geographic scope of, 13-14 habitat-based approach to, 3, 11 F multiple-species planning under, 21, 23-25 impact of, viii Federal lands, role of, in conservation planning, 66-68 incorporation of science into, 77-80 Fel-Kran Plumbing HCP multiple plans in species' range and, cumulative analysis of, xiv-xv, 104 effects of, 56-58 exotic predators and, 25 types of, 4-5 funding for, 48 Conserved areas, design of, 13-20 implementation difficulties for, 70

mitigation under, 60

public input in, 44	implementation difficulties for, 70			
Florida scrub jay, 57, 63	mitigation under, 60			
conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 105				
land exchanges under, 67	**			
mitigation under, 61	H			
habitat loss and, ix	Habitat, loss, ix, 17			
Fort Morgan Paradise Joint Venture HCP, 7	impact of, 31			
analysis of, xiv-xv, 104-105	Habitat assessments, in lieu of population surveys, in			
biological monitoring under, 36	preparing habitat conservation plans, 59			
implementation difficulties for, 70	Habitat conservation plan(s), iv, 5-7			
incidental take under, 56	adaptability in, 73-74			
4(d) Rule, 28, 61-62, 73	controlling non-native species under, 25, 30-31			
definition of, 3	definition of, 3			
Funding	early termination of, 75-76			
for additional mitigation, 50-52	edge effects and, 26			
and habitat conservation planning, 13, 47-52, 65,	funding and, 47-52, 81-82			
81-82	history of, 4			
and recovery plans, 54	implementation of, 68-72 difficulties with, 70			
	improvement of, recommendations for, x-xi, 80			
G	and incidental take permits, 4, 6			
Georgia Statewide HCP, 7-8, 77	legal enforcement under, 52-76, 82-84			
analysis of, xiv-xv, 105	management techniques in, ix, 25-33			
duration of, 75	maps of, xii			
government regulation of, 63	mitigation under, ix, 6, 17, 58-63, 82-84			
implementation difficulties and, 70	origin of, vi, 4			
technical advisory team and, 38	proposed legislation to modify, 91-93			
translocation under, 67	provisions for new listings under, 20-22			
Golden-cheeked warbler	public input in, ix, vii, 13, 41-47, 80-81			
biological monitoring of, 36	role of state and local government in, 63-66			
conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 103	scientific review of, ix, 13-40			
funding of, 50	independent, 37-40, 95			
and species' recovery plan, 55	and species recovery, ix, 52-58			
coordinating use of public and private lands for,	statistics on, v, vi			
66-67	and translocation, 31-33			
and habitat loss, ix	without recovery plans, 53-56			
preserve design for, 14, 18-20	Habitat conservation plans, duration of, xv, 74-76			
Grizzly bear, 29	Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook, 6, 21, 47,			
conservation plans for, xiv-xv, 29, 46, 79, 97-98,	52			
103	Habitat-based habitat conservation plans, 11, 59			
federal land use and, 68	definition of, 3			
impact of road management under, 28-30	multiple-species planning under, 21, 23-25			
recovery areas for, 29	HCPs. See Habitat conservation plan(s)			
recovery plan for, revision of, 54	Treats, occ radical constitution panels			
Gross/Snow Construction HCP, 7				
analysis of, xiv-xv, 105-106	I			
development of, public input in, 44	Implementation, of habitat conservation plans, prob-			
duration of, 75	lems with, 68-72			

Implementing agreement, 71 Incidental take and 4(d) rules, 61-62 mitigation/minimization of, 17, 58-63, 82-84 population surveys and, in preparation of conserva- tion plans, 58-59	Louisiana Black Bear Plan, 11, 63 analysis of, xiv-xv, 103-104 funding for, 48-49, 82 preserve design, 14 public input in, 41, 81 Low-effect habitat conservation plans
quantification of, 58-59	exclusion of under National Environmental Policy
Incidental take permit(s)	Act, 47
approval of, 6 and Ben Cone habitat conservation plan, 17	multiple, potential cumulative species loss under,
definition of, 2	56 public input and, 47
and habitat conservation plans, 4, 6, 20	Lower Colorado River conservation plan, 101-102
implementing agreements and, 71	habitat management under, 25-26
and Multiple Species Conservation Program, 23-24	mariat management uniter, 25 20
and potential cumulative effect of multiple plans,	
56	M
for red-cockaded woodpeckers, 49	Management techniques, in habitat conservation
and San Bruno Mountain habitat conservation	plans, 25-33
plan, 4	adaptive, ix, 26-27
and Washington Department of Natural Resources	negative examples of, 28-33
habitat conservation plan, 22	positive examples of, 27-28
Independent science, and conservation plans, 37-40,	Marbled murrelet, conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 97-99
95	Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife HCI
Informal consultation, and habitat conservation plans,	7, 63
37	adaptive management techniques under, 27-28
T	analysis of, xiv-xv, 107-108
J	biological monitoring under, 34 funding for, 48
Jeopardy, definition of, 2	government regulation of, 63
64 (± 3.0 ♣ 3.0 ± 6.0 ±	implementation of, 70
TZ	minimization under, 60
K	public input in, 44
Kangaroo rats, conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 100	and relationship with species' recovery plan, 55
	Metropolitan Bakersfield HCP, 7
T	analysis of, xiv-xv, 99-100
L	biological monitoring under, 36
Land exchanges, and conservation planning, 67	funding for, 48, 65
Landscape connectivity. See Corridors, wildlife	government agencies and, 65
Legal issues, and conservation planning, 13, 52-76, 82-84	urbanizing jurisdictions under, 64-65
Leopard lizard, conservation plan for, xiv-xv	Mission blue butterfly, 69
Local governments, role of, in conservation planning,	conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 21, 99
63-66	preserve design for, 18 recovery plan for, 55-56
Longleaf pine forests, decline in, 32	prospects of, 52
Louisiana black bear, 42	Mitigated environmental assessment, 47
conservation plan for, 27-28, 103	Mitigation, of incidental take, ix, 6, 17, 58-63, 82-84
enforcement of, 73	potential impact of, 47
4(d) rule and, 28, 62	Mojave Desert tortoise

conservation plan for, 100	impact of, viii			
and contingency funding, 52	No surprises policy, 92-93			
population survey in preparation of, 59	impact of, vi-vii, x, 5, 51, 73			
translocation and, 31-32	problems with, 6, 27			
MSCP. See Multiple Species Conservation Program	Northern spotted owl			
Multiple Species Conservation Program, viii, 11, 77	habitat conservation plans for, viii, xiv-xv, 97-99			
analysis of, xiv-xv, 100	adaptability of, 73			
biological monitoring under, 34-36	and coordination with program on federal lands,			
biological standards under, 62-63	67-68			
covered species list of, 23	mitigation under, 61			
early termination provision in, 75-76	preserve design for, 15-17			
edge effects and, 26	T			
funding for, 48				
government regulation of, 63-65	P			
habitat-based approach of, 21, 23	Pacific Gas and Electric - Blackhawk HCP, 7			
incidental take under, 58	analysis of, xiv-xv, 99			
and new listings, 24-25	development of, public input in, 44			
preserve design, 14	funding for, 47-48			
public input in, 42-43, 81	land exchanges under, 67			
impact of, 45-46	mitigation under, 61			
scientific review of, informal independent, 37, 39-	population survey in preparation of, 59			
40	Perdido Key beach mouse			
Multiple-species conservation planning, x, 20-25, 92-	#			
viditiple-species conservation planning, x, 20-23, 32-	conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 104 introduction of exotic predators and, 25			
neahlana with 21				
problems with, 21	PG&E - Blackhawk. See Pacific Gas and Electric -			
and species recovery, 53	Blackhawk HCP			
	Piping plover			
N	biological monitoring of, 34			
Charles and the consequence of t	conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 63, 107			
National Environmental Policy Act	adaptive management in, 28			
exclusion of low-effect habitat conservation plans	funding for, 48			
under, 47	implementation of, 70			
and incidental take permits, 6	minimization under, 60			
public input requirements under, 44, 46, 81	public input and, 44			
Natural Community Conservation Planning program,	recovery plan for, 55			
9-11. See also Multiple Species Conservation Program	Plum Creek Timber Company HCP, 7			
biological standards in, 62-63	adaptive management techniques of, 74			
geographic area of, 14	analysis of, xiv-xv, 97-98			
government agencies and, 66	and coordination of program on federal lands, 67-			
and importance of listing, 64	68			
independent scientific review panel and, 39	development of, public input in, 43			
mutiple-species planning under, 21	duration of, 53			
public input in, 41-42, 44, 81	early termination provision in, 76			
and species recovery, 53	habitat-based approach of, flaws in, 24			
NCCP. See Natural Community Conservation	independent scientific review and, ix			
Planning program	land exchanges under, 67			
NEPA. See National Environmental Policy Act	preserve design, 14, 17			
Nesting habitat, replacement of with dispersal habitat,	salmon conservation strategies under, 17-18			

113

г	Б	В	П
ш	ш	ĸ	4

and species recovery, 53 mitigation under, 61 Practicality standard, in habitat conservation plans, 60 population survey in preparation of, 59 Pre-listing agreements, 5, 61, 92, 94-95. See also Atlantic Salmon Conservation Plan S concerns about, 9 Preserve design, elements of, 14-17 Safe-harbor agreement(s), vii, 4, 7-8, 92, 94. See also Private land, regulation of, under Endangered Species Georgia Statewide HCP; Sandhills Agreement Act, 1-4 concerns about, 8-9 Programmatic habitat conservation plans. See also definition of, 3 Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife for red-cockaded woodpeckers, viii, x, 8, 14-16 HCP; Volusia County Government HCP active management and, 25, 27 definition of, 3 funding for, 49 government regulation of, 63 Salmon, conservation plans for, xiv-xv, 97, 108 problem with preparing, 59 San Bruno Mountain HCP, 7 Public input/participation analysis of, xiv-xv, 99 in conservation planning, ix, vii, 13, 41-47, 80-81 biological monitoring under, viii, 35-36 recommendations for, 81 funding for, 48, 50 in environmental assessment vs. environmental contingency, 51 impact statements, implications of, 46-47 habitat protected by, 18 formal review of, 44-47 and non-native species, 25 implementation of, 69-70 independent scientific review panel and, 39-40 R management techniques in, 30 Recovery multiple-species conservation strategy of, 21-23 habitat conservation plans and, ix, 52-58 origin of, 4 provisions under Endangered Species Act, 53 public input in, 43 Recovery plans, 54-58 recovery prospects under, 52 Recovery-based approval standards, for endangered and relationship with species' recovery plan, 55 species, habitat conservation plans and, 1, 52-58 urbanizing jurisdictions under, 64 Red Hills salamander, conservation plan for, xiv-xv, San Joaquin kit fox, 65 104 conservation plans for, xiv-xv, 98, 100 recovery plan for, incidental take and, 54 minimization under, 60 population survey in preparation of, 59 Sandhills Agreement, 8, 14, 16, 77 Red-cockaded woodpecker active habitat management under, landowner conservation plans for, viii, x, xiv-xv, 8, 14-16, 77, incentives and, 27, 49 105-107 analysis of, xiv-xv, 107 active management under, 25, 27 duration of, 75 circumvention of public input in, 47 government regulation of, 63 duration of, x, 16, 75 Sarah N. Bradley HCP, 7 funding for, 49 analysis of, xiv-xv, 104 implementation difficulties and, 70 development of, public input in, 44 scientific review of, 38 minimization under, 60 incidental take permits for, 49, 58 population survey in preparation of, 59 preserve design for, difficulties with, 14-16 recovery plan for, incidental take and, 54 and conservation planning, 13-17 translocation of, 32-33, 67-68, 70, 72 independent, and conservation plans, 37-40, 95 Red-legged frog, conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 99 Sea turtles land exchanges under, 67 biological monitoring of, 34

г			

conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 63, 106 funding for, 48, 51-52 government regulation of, 63 public comments on, 45 habitat conservation plan for, and relationship with species' recovery plan, 54-55 Section 7, of Endangered Species Act consultations, 46 summary of, 2 Section 9, of Endangered Species Act, 18, 27, 45 summary of, 2, 7 Small-parcel landowner initiatives, 93, 95 State governments, role of, in conservation planning, 63-66 Swan Valley Agreement, 11, 29, 79 analysis of, xiv-xv, 102-103 and coordination of program on federal lands, 68 enforcement under, 73 habitat management under, impact of roads on, 28public input in, 43, 46

T

Take, definition of, 1-2
Technical advisory team, and habitat conservation plans, 38-39
Teichert, Inc. Vernalis Project HCP, 7
analysis of, xiv-xv, 98-99
development of, public input in, 44
Threatened species, definition of, 2
Tongass National Forest, and conservation planning, 78-79

Translocation/transplantation, under habitat conservation plans, ix, 31-33, 67-68, 70, 72

U

Unlisted species, provisions for future listings of, 20-22
Utah prairie dog
conservation plan for, xiv-xv, 77, 102
incidental take permits for, 58
relocation of, ix, 31, 68

V

Volusia County Government HCP, 7, 63

analysis of, xiv-xv, 106 biological monitoring under, 34 funding for, 48 contingency, 51 government regulation of, 63 public input on, impact of, 45-46 and relationship with species' recovery plan, 54-55 scientific review of, informal consultation and, 37-38

W

Washington Department of Natural Resources HCP, 7 adaptive management techniques of, 27, 73-74 analysis of, xiv-xv, 97 and coordination of program on federal lands, 67early termination provision in, 75 funding for, 48 and habitat design, 17 and habitat monitoring, 36 habitat-based assessment under, 58 implementation difficulties and, 72 and incidental take permits, 22-23 mitigation under, 60 multiple-species planning approach of, 22-23 salmon conservation strategies of, 17-18 species covered under, x, xiv technical advisory team and, 38 Weyerhaeuser Timber Company, See Weyerhaeuse Willamette HCP Weyerhaeuser Willamette HCP, 7 adaptive management techniques of, 74 analysis of, xiv-xv, 98 and coordination of program on federal lands, 67-68 early termination provision in, 75 and habitat design, 17 and habitat monitoring, 16-17 habitat-based approach of, species tiers in, 24 implementing agreement for, 71 mitigation under, 61 public input in, 43 environmental assessment and, 46-47 salmon conservation strategies of, 17-18 Wildlife corridors. See Corridors, wildlife Wolf Compensation Trust, iv